


Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/009,009	BRAIN ET AL.
	Examiner Thomas McKenzie, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1624
All Participants: (1) <u>Thomas McKenzie Ph.D.</u> (2) <u>Joseph Borovian</u> .	Status of Application: _____ (3) _____ (4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>20 February 2004</u>	Time: <u>10:00am</u>	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>enablement for disease treatment</i>		
Claims discussed: 18		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Since the only remaining issue is enablement of diseases other than pain, the Examiner offered to limit claim 18 by Examiner's amendment and to allow the case. Applicants declined.</i>		
Part III.		
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)		
_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		